

APPLICATION / MOTION DATE SHEET - SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

Please note that the Rules of Criminal Practice still apply

Application made by: Crown (w/ carriage of file): _____
Accused / Defence Counsel: _____

Regina v. Davoud Tohidg (full name)

Court File No.: 13157

Matter to proceed in: English _____ Judge seized: _____
French _____

Application Type: Bail Review / Bail Variation _____
Other (Please specify): Mandamus

(Bail Review Court)

TOTAL TIME REQUIRED FOR APPLICATION: 1/2 hr

NB: If you've checked 'Other', or if this is a bail review, you **MUST** obtain an approved date from the Trial Coordination Office (Room 5300)

For Trial Coordination Office's use ONLY:

DATE: Mon day, September 15, 2014

TIME: 10:00 a.m. / p.m.

THIS DATE MUST BE SET BY: September 10 / 14
(OTHERWISE A NEW SHEET MUST BE OBTAINED)

Date Approved By: _____

FILED SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE AT OTTAWA

PROOF OF SERVICE:

Crown / Prosecutor: _____

Accused or Counsel: _____

Application received by: _____
(Counter staff)

DATE RECEIVED:

LA COUR SUPERIEURE DE JUSTICE OTTAWA

Director of Public Prosecutions
Directeur des poursuites pénales
SEP 09 2014
Rec'd / Reçu

Form 3 / BACK SHEET

Court File No. 13157

COURT OF ONTARIO
(Superior Court of Justice)

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN
—and—

██████████ both as the ██████████ and as an individual, ██████████ both as
the ██████████ of ██████████ and an individual, ██████████ both as the former ██████████ of
██████████ and as an individual and Unknown

15 Sept 2014

Notice of Application/Mandamus Form 1

*referred to 10 Nov 2014 at 10am
for copy of transcript of initial hearing
copies of transcripts to
delivered to both Crown
law offices by 2 Nov 2014*

BACKSHEET
(Criminal Proceedings Rules, Form 3)

DAVOUD TOHIDY

██████████ ██████████ ██████████

Ottawa, ON

██████████

Phone: 613 ██████████

████████████████████
████████████████████
████████████████████

E-mail ██████████ ██████████

APPLICANT / MOVING PARTY

Nov. 10 / 2014.

Application for mandamus is denied under s. 6.11(2) of the Criminal Procedure Rules as the information sought to be laid by the Applicant is frivolous. ~~and~~ Justice of the Peace Pearson was warranted in refusing to initiate proceedings based on the Applicant's information. In arriving at this conclusion, I adopt the legal reasoning set out in paras. 6, 11-14, 16-24, and 27-29 of the Respondents (Crown's) Notice of Application. The issues which the Applicant seeks to have dealt with in these criminal proceedings are really of a scientific nature, in terms of the evidence he refers to, and of a political nature, in terms of the decisions he wants our elected representatives to take based on the scientific evidence he considers credible. The issues raised by the Applicant in his supporting documents on this Application do not engage the criminal justice system in the global and generic way in which he has raised the issues.

[REDACTED]